

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive

Chris Bates, Clifford Chance/ISDA
Karla Barton, BP
September 2006

CLIFFORD
CHANCE



Impact on Commodities
Business

Outline

- What is MiFID?
- Key dates
- Financial regulation of commodities today
- Patchwork of national regimes
- Scope and application of MiFID
- MiFID for authorised firms
- Practical issues with MiFID framework
- MiFID review

What is MiFID?

- Markets in Financial Instruments Directive
 - Adopted April 2004
 - Key part of EU Financial Services Action Plan
 - Replaces 1993 Investment Services Directive (ISD)
 - Framework for regulating securities/derivatives business in EU
- Aims to improve single market by:
 - More effective 'passport' for EU investment firms/banks
 - Greater harmonisation of organisational/conduct of business rules
 - Enhanced competition between exchanges, multilateral trading facilities (MTFs) and investment firms/banks
- Linked to Capital Requirements Directive (CRD)
 - Investment firms/banks regulated by MiFID subject to regulatory capital rules established under CRD

Key dates

- 2 September 2006:
 - EU implementing measures published
- 31 January 2007:
 - Deadline for member states to adopt national implementing legislation/rules
- 1 November 2007:
 - National implementing legislation/rules to come into force
 - Likely slippage in national implementation schedules
- 30 April 2008:
 - Commission to report on application of MiFID/CRD to firms trading commodity and new derivative products
- Parallel timetable for implementing CRD:
 - From 1 January 2007

Financial regulation of commodities today

- Commodities business outside the scope of:
 - Mandatory EU authorisation requirements
 - EU passport regime
 - EU consolidated supervision requirements
 - EU market abuse regulation
- But:
 - Banks/investment firms carrying on commodities business subject to capital rules on that business
 - Member states can impose national authorisation requirements on commodities firms (and many do)
 - Member states can extend market abuse regulation to commodities derivatives business

Patchwork of national regimes

- National authorisation requirements
 - Focus on regulation of commodity derivatives not physical business
 - Differing definitions but narrow scope/broad exemptions mitigate practical impact on firms
 - E.g. UK, Germany, France, Netherlands
- Impact of authorisation also reduced by:
 - Modified capital/business conduct rules
 - E.g. UK, Germany, Netherlands
- However, practical issues remain e.g.
 - Authorisation barriers for cross-border business, including non-EU firms
 - Obstacles to exchanges, MTFs
 - Difficulties with enforceability of close out netting

Scope and application of MiFID

- Extends authorisation requirements to cover:
 - Investment services/activities, including dealing for own account
 - Relating to derivatives on commodities, weather, emissions certificates and other specific underlyings
 - If contract cash settled, traded on a regulated market/MTF or closely linked to a regulated market/MTF contract
- Exemptions apply e.g.
 - Firms whose main business is dealing on own account in commodities/commodity derivatives
 - Firms whose dealing or services ancillary to main business
 - If group's main business not banking/investment services
- Member states may:
 - Adopt broader scope or narrower exemptions
 - Apply restrictions to non-EU entities doing cross-border business

MiFID for authorised firms

- Prudential/organisational framework
 - Regulatory capital requirements set under CRD
 - Client money/assets segregation rules
 - Systems/controls e.g. outsourcing, separate compliance/risk/audit functions
 - Conflict of interest management rules
- Conduct of business
 - Client classification: retail, professional, eligible counterparties
 - Client information, documentation requirements
 - Suitability requirements for advice
 - Broad best execution requirement
- Market integrity rules
 - Transaction reporting requirements
 - Extends scope of market abuse regime

Practical issues with the framework

- Restrictions on cross-border business
 - EU entities within EU, non-EU entities into EU
 - Patchwork of differing national rules remains
- Lack of recognition of wholesale nature of markets
 - Practical difficulties with client classification regime
 - Different rules for derivatives vs physical transactions
- Forces subsidiarisation of regulated activities
 - Loss of business alignment, natural hedges
 - Reduces netting between regulated/unregulated transactions
 - Costs of restructuring, uncertainty of outcome of review
 - Business with non-EU counterparts better located outside EU
- Issues with netting and collateral remain

MiFID review

- Evaluation of MiFID exemptions and prudential regime
 - Report deadline 30 April 2008
 - but legislation changes unlikely before 2010
- Need to identify regulatory risks, intended objectives
 - Investor protection, systemic stability, market integrity
 - Application in global, competitive wholesale markets
 - Identification of appropriate regulatory tools
 - Role of authorisation requirements/regulation of market participants vs market regulation
 - Application
 - Cost/benefit analysis for regulatory options
 - Need for common EU approach to authorisation requirements that limits superequivalent national licensing rules
- Industry involvement in review process

Markets in Financial Instruments Directive

CLIFFORD
CHANCE



Clifford Chance, 10 Upper Bank Street, Canary Wharf, London, E14 5JJ, UK
© Clifford Chance LLP 2006
Clifford Chance Limited Liability Partnership
www.cliffordchance.com

DMS Footer here