

September 18, 2014

**Frequently Asked Questions
2014 Credit Derivatives Definitions & Standard Reference Obligations:
October 6¹, 2014 Go-Live**

ISDA continues to work with its members to finalize the industry implementation plan for supporting the new 2014 Credit Derivatives Definitions (“2014 Definitions” or “new Definitions”), including the Standard Reference Obligation (“SRO”) initiative. This Frequently Asked Questions (“FAQ”) document explains the derivatives industry's standards in regard to operational processing for certain credit derivative transactions as of October 6, 2014.

¹ Industry infrastructure will be available on September 22, 2014 that will allow market participants the ability to trade Single Name CDS transactions which reference the 2014 Definitions. Some market participants may begin using the 2014 Definitions from that date, particularly for financial or other Reference Entities that are excluded from the Protocol. It is however expected that trading for On-the-run indices and Reference Entities excluded from the Protocol will begin on October 6th (CDX HY On-the-run indices will begin October 9).

General Questions.....Pages 4 – 12

1. What are the 2014 Definitions?
2. What is the implementation schedule?
3. How will trading of index and single name CDS work between September 22 and October 6?
4. Are there other changes taking place to CDS contract documentation?
5. What are some of the important changes under the 2014 Definitions?
6. How will the Protocol apply these changes to existing transactions?
7. What happens if a party has not adhered to the Protocol?
8. Which of these changes are only applying to new transactions starting October 6 (or September 22 if adopted early)?
9. Which types of legacy transactions are covered by the Protocol to apply the 2014 Definitions?
10. How will these changes apply to index and bespoke basket CDS transactions?
11. What changes are happening for sovereign Reference Entities?
12. What changes are happening for financial Reference Entities?
13. Which regions will adopt the new financial trading standards?
14. How will the changes to financial terms such as GI and other changes be identified on a contractual basis?
15. Will there be an instance where you have a name that will be able to trade on either 2003 or 2014 Definitions?
16. Will the Protocol specifically identify Reference Entities that are covered?
17. What is a SRO?
18. What are the benefits of introducing SROs?
19. How will the SRO be applied to transactions?

Operational Questions.....Pages 13 – 17

20. Will all the new Matrix transaction types be confirmable electronically?
21. Will the Definitions flag be a confirmable field?
22. Will there be new master document transaction types in both DSMatch and other relevant platforms?
23. Will there be the ability to novate the financial trades and trades marked as 2014 Definitions trades?
24. Will novations of 2003 Definitions transaction be affected?
25. How will DTCC handle Credit Event processing?
26. Will these changes impact CCPs?
27. Will the new changes require reporting amendments?
28. Will SEFs and IDBs have to make changes?
29. What is a 'doc clause'?
30. What are the anticipated changes to the current 'doc clauses'?
31. Will there be Index Reversioning?
32. What changes will be taking place in the Trade Information Warehouse?

- 33.** What will happen to indices post-October 6?
- 34.** What are the key elements of SRO to be implemented?
- 35.** How will the key elements of SRO be implemented?
- 36.** When is the UAT period?
- 37.** Is there a list of indices that are moving over to the 2014 definitions, and are there any exceptions which will remain on 2003?

General Questions

1. What are the 2014 Definitions?

The 2014 Definitions are an update to the 2003 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions and relevant supplements (the “2003 Definitions”), which currently form the basis of the documentation for most CDS transactions. The 2014 Definitions are a complete overhaul of the 2003 Definitions. Many completely new provisions have been added, and existing provisions have been updated and amended. The 2014 Definitions therefore represent a new standard for CDS contracts.

2. What is the implementation schedule?

Trading on the 2014 Definitions is scheduled to begin in full on October 6, 2014 (although some market sectors may begin using the 2014 Definitions on September 22). Industry infrastructure to support the 2014 Definitions will be made available on September 22, 2014, to allow parties to trade using the 2014 Definitions from that earlier date if they wish. The following key steps have occurred or are anticipated leading up to that date:

- ISDA published the 2014 Credit Derivatives Definitions (February 2014)
- ISDA published a Protocol allowing firms to amend legacy transactions, other than contracts on certain excluded Reference Entities and products, from the 2003 to the 2014 Definitions, effective from October 6, 2014. (*See further detail on the Protocol below*)
- ISDA will also publish certain updated CDS contract documents (see question below) and various ancillary documents (e.g., revised Determinations Committee Rules, Standard Reference Obligation rules)
- The adherence process for the Protocol ran from its publication until September 17, 2014 (to allow firms to reconcile their populations of adhered trades before October 6).
- Potential Standard Reference Obligations for key European financial entities will be identified

On September 22, the following changes will go into effect:

- Industry infrastructure will be launched allowing single-name trading on the 2014 Definitions to commence where the parties wish to use those Definitions
- This will include the ability to enter into single-name CDS transactions on financial entities using the new financial Transaction Types
- Some market participants may begin using the 2014 Definitions, particularly for financial or other Reference Entities that are excluded from the Protocol.

On October 6, the following changes will go into effect:

- Index trading on the 2014 Definitions is recommended to begin for the main corporate and sovereign indices (Note: the date for CDX HY is October 9)
- Single-name trading on the 2014 Definitions is expected to begin for all Reference Entities covered by the Protocol (in addition to Protocol excluded Reference Entities to the extent parties have not previously begun to trade them using the 2014 Definitions)

- The Protocol will go into effect

3. How will trading of index and single name CDS work between September 22 and October 6?

An implication of the timetable above is that there will be an interim period between September 22 and October 6 during which the 2014 Definitions and supporting infrastructure will be available for use, but is not expected to be widely adopted. Parties should note that each trading party is responsible for agreeing the appropriate terms of its trade. The following information is based on the terms of the Protocol and on feedback from ISDA members on the generally-expected trading conventions that will be applied between September 22 and October 6. Deviations from these conventions could occur for any individual transaction, if agreed by the parties.

Indices:

- Markit will publish new series of the CDX and iTraxx indices with an annex date of October 6 2014. Markit has recommended that market participants begin trading the on-the-run indices on October 6 (to match the Protocol Implementation Date). (Note: the relevant date for HY CDX indices is October 9)
 - The new index documentation referencing the 2014 Definitions should be used
- Off-the-run legacy indices will continue to trade between September 22 and October 6 using existing Standard Terms documents that reference the 2003 Definitions.
 - On October 6, all Index Protocol Covered Transactions will be updated by the Protocol to new documentation referencing the 2014 Definitions² (or a mix of 2014 Definitions and 2003 Definitions if the index contains Excluded Reference Entities under the Protocol). [Note: This conversion will take place in the Trade Information Warehouse on the weekend of October 3-5.]

Single Names:

- Reference Entities within the scope of the Protocol will continue to trade between September 22 and October 6 using the 2003 Definitions (therefore matching the index terms).
 - On October 6, single name transactions on these entities entered into before October 6 will be updated by the Protocol to the 2014 Definitions.³ [Note: This conversion will take place in the Trade Information

² This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

³ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

Warehouse on the weekend of October 3-5. The Adherence Start Date to this event will begin on September 19 and end on October 3]

- Reference Entities that are excluded from the Protocol may trade between September 22 and October 6 using either the 2014 Definitions or the 2003 Definitions, as agreed by the parties.
 - Parties may also use the new financial Transaction Types for 2014 Definitions trades during this period.
 - These transactions are excluded from the Protocol, and so they will remain on either the 2003 Definitions or the 2014 Definitions as applicable.

This information is summarized in the table below:

Trade underlier type	Trade Date			Oct 6 Protocol impact on existing transactions
	Pre Sep 22	Sep 22 - Oct 6	Oct 6 onwards	
Legacy Index series	Existing documentation (2003 Definitions)	Existing documentation (2003 Definitions)	New documentation (2014 (and 2003) Definitions)	Updated to new documentation ⁴
New Index series	N/A	N/A	New documentation (2014 Definitions)	N/A
Single name - Protocol covered	2003 Definitions	2003 Definitions	2014 Definitions	Updated to 2014 Definitions ⁵
Single name - Protocol excluded	2003 Definitions	2014 or 2003 Definitions	2014 Definitions (or 2003 if agreed)	None

4. Are there other changes taking place to CDS contract documentation?

Yes. The following documents will be published by ISDA or Markit by September 22:

- Revised Credit Derivatives Physical Settlement Matrix and Confirmation – ISDA
- Revised iTraxx and CDX Standard Terms Supplements and Confirmations – Markit
- Revised ISDA Disclosure Annex for Credit Derivative Transactions – ISDA
- 2014 CoCo Supplement to the 2014 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions – ISDA
- 2014 Sovereign No Asset Package Delivery Supplement to the 2014 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions – ISDA

ISDA **will not be** publishing Master Confirmation Agreements (“MCAs”) which reference the 2014 Definitions.

⁴ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

⁵ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

5. What are some of the important changes under the 2014 Definitions?

The 2014 Definitions are a new standard for CDS transactions, with changes throughout. These FAQs do not attempt to summarize the changes, but identify some of the key areas of change as detailed below.

The 2014 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions introduce several new terms, including:

- Bail-in/financial terms for credit default swap (CDS) contracts on certain financial reference entities: incorporates a new credit event triggered by a government-initiated bail-in and a provision for delivery of the proceeds of bailed-in debt or a restructured reference obligation, and more delineation between senior and subordinated CDS.
- Sovereign CDS asset package delivery for CDS contracts on sovereign reference entities: introduces the ability to settle a credit event by delivery of assets into which sovereign debt is converted.
- Standard reference obligation: allows for the adoption of a standardized reference obligation across all market-standard CDS contracts on the same reference entity and seniority level.
- In addition to these new terms, the 2014 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions contain several amendments to standard credit derivatives trading terms, including: upgrading provisions dealing with transfers of debt to successor reference entities; expanding the scope of guarantees that can be hedged with CDS; rationalizing the treatment of contingent debt and guarantee obligations; addressing currency redenomination issues; and adjustments to the restructuring settlement mechanism.

6. How will the Protocol apply these changes to existing transactions?

The Protocol will enable market participants to apply the 2014 Definitions to certain existing transactions, thereby eliminating distinctions between those transactions and new transactions entered into on the 2014 Definitions. Market participants, by adhering to the Protocol, agree to amend existing credit derivative transactions within the scope of the Protocol with all other adhering parties to incorporate the 2014 Definitions into the documentation for their transactions in place of the 2003 Definitions.

7. What happens if a party has not adhered to the Protocol?

With the exception of cleared transactions, if a party has not adhered to the Protocol, then its transactions will remain on the 2003 Definitions, unless the parties agree bilaterally to make the changes contained in the Protocol. Assuming most transactions migrate to the 2014 Definitions under the Protocol, this could have liquidity or pricing implications for transactions on non-excluded Reference Entities that remain on the 2003 Definitions, and could affect a party's ability to clear those transactions. In addition, index transactions will become non-standard bespoke transactions if they remain on the 2003 Definitions whilst most transactions are moved to the 2014 Definitions (or a mix of 2014 and 2003 Definitions, as described under "How will these changes apply to index and bespoke basket CDS transactions?" below).

Centrally-cleared transactions will be dealt with according to rules set by the relevant CCP.

8. Which of these changes are only applying to new transactions starting October 6 (or September 22 if adopted early)?

The changes in the Protocol will NOT apply to transactions on certain Reference Entities listed in the Protocol by name. These Reference Entities are either sovereign entities, financial entities or corporate entities. (See the questions below on changes to sovereign and financial Reference Entities for details on how these changes will apply in different regions.) As a result, the 2014 Definitions can only apply to new transactions on those Reference Entities on the 2014 Definitions. The new financial Transaction Types will also only apply to new transactions on the 2014 Definitions.

9. Which types of legacy transactions are covered by the Protocol?

The Protocol will cover a broad range of types of credit derivative transactions that are documented using the 2003 Definitions as amended by one of the Auction Settlement supplements published in 2009.

The types of transactions covered by the Protocol are:

- Covered Index Transactions (including CDX and iTraxx Tranched and Untranched)
- Covered Swaption Transactions (single name and portfolio)
- Covered Non-Swaption Transactions (e.g. Single name, Fixed Recovery, Recovery Lock, Nth to default, Bespoke Portfolio Transactions)

Certain types of credit derivative transactions are excluded from the scope of the Protocol, including:

- Loan Only transactions
- U.S. Municipal type transactions
- Credit derivative transactions on asset backed securities

These excluded types of transaction will remain on their current documentation. (They will also continue to trade on the basis of their current documentation, i.e., the 2014 Definitions will not apply to them.)

10. How will these changes apply to index and bespoke basket CDS transactions?

The Protocol will apply the changes to individual Reference Entity components of index and bespoke basket CDS transactions in the same way as for single name transactions. This means that transactions that have some Reference Entities that are covered by the Protocol and some Reference Entities that are excluded from the Protocol will be bifurcated, with part of the trade moving to the 2014 Definitions⁶ and part remaining on the 2003 Definitions.

11. What changes are happening for sovereign Reference Entities?

One of the most economically significant differences between the 2014 Definitions and the 2003 Definitions is that the 2014 Definitions allow for “asset package delivery” (“APD”) to apply following Restructuring of certain obligations of a sovereign Reference Entity. The APD provision is being introduced in the Western Europe, Japan, Australia and New Zealand regions (for new trades only starting from September 22). The APD provision will only have effect if one or more “Package Observable Bonds” are published

⁶ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

for the relevant sovereign Reference Entity. As a result, sovereigns in those regions that are likely to have Package Observable Bonds are excluded from the Protocol.

Sovereigns that are not excluded from the Protocol will be within the scope of the Protocol, but the Protocol provides that APD will not apply to legacy transactions on those Reference Entities. For sovereign entities in regions where APD is not being introduced, a provision will be included in standard contracts (including the ISDA Credit Derivatives Physical Settlement Matrix (the “Matrix”)) that APD will not apply.

The information above is summarized in the table below:

	Western Europe (and USA)	Emerging EMEA	Latin America	Asia-Ex Japan (including Singapore)	Japan	Australia/New Zealand
New transaction*	2014 Definitions, APD can apply*	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply*	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply*	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply*	2014 Definitions, APD can apply*	2014 Definitions, APD can apply*
Pre-Oct 6 transaction on excluded sovereign	2003 Definitions	N/A	N/A	N/A	2003 Definitions	2003 Definitions
Pre-Oct 6 transaction on non-excluded sovereign	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply	2014 Definitions, APD will NOT apply

*The position for new transactions confirmed directly on the 2014 Definitions depends on the trading terms applied by the parties. The position described above is the current expectation for market-standard transactions based on market feedback.

12. What changes are happening for financial Reference Entities?

New trades on financial Reference Entities in certain regions under the 2014 Definitions can use the new financial terms, which include a new Governmental Intervention Credit Event (“GI”), triggered by a government-initiated bail-in, provisions allowing APD for the proceeds of bailed-in debt or a restructured reference obligation, and more delineation between senior and subordinated CDS. The financial provisions in the 2014 Definitions do not allow contingent convertible (“CoCo”) bonds issued by financial entities to be delivered, but ISDA has published a Supplement (the 2014 CoCo Supplement to the 2014 ISDA Credit Derivatives Definitions or “CoCo Supplement”) that allows CoCo bonds to be delivered if the CoCo Supplement is incorporated into the Confirmation.

13. Which regions will adopt the new financial trading standards?

The new financial terms are expected to be used for certain financial entities in Europe and Asia. Financial entities in those regions that are expected to use the new financial terms are excluded from the Protocol, so that legacy transactions on those Reference Entities will remain on the 2003 Definitions.

Other entities in those regions (including any that might be considered “financial” but are not excluded from the Protocol), and entities in the Latin America and emerging EMEA regions, are not expected to use the financial terms as part of the present update. They are expected to continue to trade on “corporate” terms under the 2014 Definitions, so GI and APD will not apply. Legacy transactions on those entities are covered by the Protocol and will move to the 2014 Definitions⁷, but on their existing corporate terms so GI and APD will not apply.

The information above is summarized in the table below:

	Western Europe	Emerging EMEA	Latin America	Asia-Ex Japan (including Singapore)	Japan	Australia/New Zealand
New transactions on financial entities*	2014 Definitions. Excluded financial: GI/APD apply. Otherwise: GI/APD do not apply*	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply*	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply*	2014 Definitions. Excluded financial: GI/APD apply. Otherwise: GI/APD do not apply*	2014 Definitions. Excluded financial: GI/APD apply. Otherwise: GI/APD do not apply*	2014 Definitions. Excluded financial: GI/APD apply. Otherwise: GI/APD do not apply*
Pre-Oct 6 transactions on excluded financial	2003 Definitions	N/A	N/A	2003 Definitions	2003 Definitions	2003 Definitions
Pre-Oct 6 transactions on non-excluded financials	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply	2014 Definitions. GI/APD do NOT apply

*The position for new transactions confirmed directly on the 2014 Definitions depends on the trading terms applied by the parties. The position described above is the current expectation for market-standard transactions based on market feedback.

14. How will the changes to financial terms such as GI and other changes be identified on a contractual basis?

To allow market participants the ability to apply the new financial terms to relevant transactions, ISDA will publish a revised version of the Matrix including new Financial Transaction Types that specify Governmental Intervention as a Credit Event and the “Financial Entity Terms” under the 2014 Definitions as applicable. In addition, a Transaction Type will be added to allow parties to trade European financial entities with the CoCo Supplement applicable. For the September 22 republication of the Matrix, the following new Transaction Types will be added:

- Asia Financial Corporate

⁷ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

- Australia Financial Corporate
- European Financial Corporate
- European CoCo Financial Corporate
- New Zealand Financial Corporate
- Singapore Financial Corporate
- Japan Financial Corporate

15. Will there be an instance where you have a name that will be able to trade on either 2003 or 2014 Definitions?

Yes. While it is expected that market convention will be that trades post-October 6 will generally be on 2014 terms, for Reference Entities that are NOT covered by the Protocol, there may be a mix of trading practice as parties trade on 2014 terms for new risk, but on 2003 terms to close out or hedge legacy positions.

16. Will the Protocol specifically identify Reference Entities that are covered?

No. The Protocol will cover certain types of credit derivative transactions regardless of the identity of the Reference Entity, and will then exclude certain specified Reference Entities that would otherwise be covered.

17. What is an SRO?

One of the initiatives contained in the 2014 Definitions is the standardization of Reference Obligations, by allowing for a “Standard Reference Obligation” or “SRO” to be published for a specified Reference Entity and Seniority Level. The SRO published from time to time will then be the Reference Obligation for all trades on that Reference Entity and Seniority Level for which SRO is applicable, regardless of when the trade was executed. The parties can specify SRO as applicable or not applicable in the Confirmation (if the Confirmation is silent, the 2014 Definitions default to SRO applicable).

18. What are the benefits of introducing SROs?

Standardization of the Reference Obligation removes the need for parties to match the Reference Obligation when using matching or affirmation platforms, reducing the risk of trade breaks. It also removes potential basis risk between transactions that have the same Reference Entity but different Reference Obligations, and allows for consistent treatment of cleared and uncleared transactions.

19. How will the SRO be applied to transactions?

The SRO provisions in the 2014 Definitions apply by default to transactions governed by the 2014 Definitions. The Protocol will apply the 2014 Definitions, including the SRO provisions, to legacy transactions⁸, but with some amendments to preserve the economics of legacy transactions. In particular, SRO will not apply to legacy transactions that either (a) do not specify a Reference Obligation; (b) specify more than one Reference Obligation (including transactions that use the LPN or Sukuk terms); or (c) provide that

⁸ This assumes that no Event Determination Date occurs in respect of a relevant Reference Entity before October 6.

September 18, 2014

the Reference Obligation is the only obligation that may be delivered (or valued in Cash Settlement) following a Credit Event.

Operational Questions

20. Will all the new Matrix transaction types be confirmable electronically?

Yes. All the Matrix transaction types referenced in Question #14 above will be supported on DSMatch and confirmed electronically.

21. Will the Definitions flag be a confirmable field?

Yes. The 2014 Definitions do NOT apply to any transactions automatically. As such, they must be incorporated into the confirmation or other trading documents.

A new data attribute will be added to the MarkitSERV confirmation templates:

- Contractual Definitions: this will allow firms to differentiate between transactions on the 2003 and 2014 Definitions within the legal confirmation.
- *ISDA2003Credit*, *ISDA2014Credit*, and *ISDA2006*: will become a required matching field on all products from September 22.

22. Will there be new master document transaction types for the new financial terms in both DSMatch and other relevant platforms?

Yes. To allow participants the ability to apply these new terms to the relevant transactions, ISDA will create new Transaction Types in the Matrix based on the current Corporate and Standard Corporate types. MarkitSERV and other platforms will support the new Matrix Transaction Types, for example European Financial Corporate or Standard European Financial Corporate, which will allow firms to legally confirm trades under the new terms.

23. Will there be the ability to novate the financial trades and trades marked as 2014 Definitions trades?

Yes, the MarkitSERV and ICELink Novation Consent Platforms will support assignments on the new Transaction Types and transactions with the new Definitions flag.

24. Will novations of 2003 Definitions transactions be affected?

No. Transactions may not be novated from 2003 Definitions to 2014 Definitions during the course of the novation. Parties may wish to make that amendment subsequent to the completion of novation.

25. How will DTCC handle Credit Events?

DTCC's TIW will be able to process Credit Events as it is currently completed today, even if an event is a Credit Event for both 2014 Definitions trades and 2003 Definitions trades. For example, if a bail-in of a financial Reference Entity were to qualify as a Restructuring under the 2003 Definitions and a Governmental Intervention under the 2014 Definitions, DTCC would create and run two independent / parallel events.

- Credit Event for the trades confirm under the 2014 Definitions
- Restructuring Event for the trades confirmed under the 2003 Definitions

26. Will these changes impact CCPs?

CCPs are expected to reflect the Protocol changes and the 2014 Definitions in their clearing rules. For details, please contact the relevant CCP.

27. Will the changes require reporting amendments?

No. There will NOT be a requirement for new taxonomies or other reporting obligations. Firms will map the new transaction types to their corresponding existing taxonomies. For example: StandardEuropeanFinancialCorporate will have the same Taxonomy value as StandardEuropeanCorporate transactions. In addition, firms will not be required to submit the contractual definitions field to swap data repositories. There will be new Master Document Transaction Types that will firms have to submit based on their adherence to the Protocol and the underlying Reference Entity.

28. Will SEFs and IDBs have to make changes?

Yes. It is anticipated that SEFs / Brokers will make the following changes to:

- Provide for designation of transactions referencing 2003 or 2014 definitions
- Support trading on the new Financial Transaction types based on selection of the Transaction Type by participants on the platform.
- If the platform lists out applicable Credit Events for a trade, add Governmental Intervention as a Credit Event on the new Financial Transaction Types.
- Allow firms to trade specifying the SRO where applicable.

29. What is a ‘doc clause’?

Over the past several years the industry has developed short hand codes to describe credit derivative transactions. These codes have primarily centered on the Credit Event of Restructuring. These codes or “doc clauses” are used for a variety of purposes, but mainly serve to assist the industry identify transactions from a pricing perspective. Markit uses the doc clauses to assist several clearing houses, their members, and member customers to submit settlement prices on a daily basis. Various vendor composite pricing files are published to industry participants which allows for consistency of pricing for intraday and end of day valuations.

The current doc clauses used in the industry are as follows:

<u>Doc Clause</u>	<u>Restructuring Type</u>
XR =	No Restructuring
CR =	Old /Full Restructuring
MR =	Modified Restructuring
MM =	Modified Modified Restructuring

30. What are the anticipated changes to the current ‘doc clauses’?

As the industry begins trading on the 2014 Definitions there will be a need to either amend the existing doc clauses or add new identifiers to ensure all transactions are easily identifiable so that differences between contracts that may have a pricing impact can be captured. The Credit Steering Committee has recommended introducing a new doc clause for any transaction that is governed by the 2014 Definitions. Transactions governed by 2003 Definitions will remain on their above existing respective four doc clauses.

The new 2014 doc clauses are as follows:

<u>Doc Clause</u>	<u>Restructuring Type</u>
XR14 =	No Restructuring
CR14 =	Old /Full Restructuring
MR14 =	Modified Restructuring
MM14 =	Modified Modified Restructuring

The new 2014 doc clauses will be available for use from September 22.⁹ Example of Doc Clauses after September 22:

Sector	Example Transaction Template Type	Protocol Adhered	ISDA Definitions	Restructuring Type	Current Doc Clause	New Doc Clause
European Financial	StandardEuropeanCorporate	n/a	2003	Modified Modified Restructuring	MM	MM
European Financial	StandardEuropeanFinancialCorporate	n/a	2014	Modified Modified Restructuring	MM	MM14
European Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardEuropeanCorporate	No	2003	Modified Modified Restructuring	MM	MM
European Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardEuropeanCorporate	Yes	2014	Modified Modified Restructuring	MM	MM14
North American Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardNorthAmericanCorporate	No	2003	No Restructuring	XR	XR
North American Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardNorthAmericanCorporate	Yes	2014	No Restructuring	XR	XR14
North American Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardNorthAmericanCorporate	No	2003	Modified Restructuring	MR	MR
North American Corporate (non Fin/Sov)	StandardNorthAmericanCorporate	Yes	2014	Modified Restructuring	MR	MR14
Latin American Sovereign	StandardLatinAmericaSovereign	n/a	2003	Old/Full Restructuring	CR	CR
Latin American Sovereign	StandardLatinAmericaSovereign	n/a	2014	Old/Full Restructuring	CR	CR14
Western European Sovereign	StandardWesternEuropeanSovereign	n/a	2003	Old/Full Restructuring	CR	CR
Western European Sovereign	StandardWesternEuropeanSovereign	n/a	2014	Old/Full Restructuring	CR	CR14

31. Will there be Index Reversioning?

Standard indices are not expected to reversioned for the launch of the 2014 Definitions. All trades of a specific index series will be treated the same way under the Protocol. New Standard Term Supplements (“STS”) for index trades will be published to cover both new and legacy series, and indicate which Definitions apply to which index constituents.

32. What Changes will be taking place in the Trade Information Warehouse (TIW)?

- Clearing Eligibility Report: the Contractual Definitions field will be added to the end of the report
- Full Position Reconciliation File: the Contractual Definitions field will be added to the end of the report

⁹ For Protocol covered Reference Entities traded between September 22 and October 3, some pricing providers will only be pricing curves under the “2014” doc clauses (e.g. CR14, MM14, MR14, XR14) and not 2003 doc clauses. This may require participants who use these services to monitor transactions to ensure accurate pricing representation on transactions during this interim period.

- DTCC Pair File: no changes
- Partial Triggering Report: no changes
- Full Triggering Report: no changes
- Successor Event Activity Report: no changes
- Data migration:
 - Phase I will take place by industrializing the contracts to show the Contractual Definitions Date (either *ISDA2003Credit* or *ISDA2006*)
 - Phase II will amend the trade to show Protocol trades as *ISDA2014Credit*

33. What will happen to Indices post October 6?

All future indices are expected to be on 2014 Definitions for all underlying entities from October 6 onwards. Constituents of legacy series will move to the 2014 Definitions under the Protocol, except for Reference Entities excluded from the Protocol, which will remain on the 2003 Definitions.

34. What are the key elements of SRO to be implemented?

- Specifying "Standard Reference Obligation" as applicable or not applicable in the Confirmation. This specification determines whether a transaction on the 2014 definitions will be subject to the SRO or not.
- Specifying the Seniority Level in the Confirmation
- Determining which Reference Obligation will be published as the SRO for a Reference Entity/Seniority Level
- Replacing SROs as they mature
- Applying SROs to legacy transactions

35. How will the key elements of SRO be implemented?

- Industry implementation will leverage the existing Reference Obligation field:
 - "Generic" Reference Obligation identifier used to indicate both applicability of Standard Reference Obligation and the Seniority Level
 - There will be two new reference identifiers for SRO:
 - XSSNRREFOBL0 for senior transactions
 - XSSUBREFOBL0 for subordinated transactions
- Confirmation and other platform operating procedures will translate the generic reference data into the relevant legal text which signifies SRO applicability on a transaction.
- Markit will maintain and publish the SRO List with each published SRO for the relevant Reference Entity and Seniority Level:
 - SROs will be selected according to a set of pre-defined rules
 - The SRO Rules were published September 16
 - Under the rules, SROs are selected according to the following criteria:
 - Meet specified maturity, size and liquidity thresholds
 - Have the requisite seniority level
 - Are either deliverable, or not deliverable for the same reasons as an approved benchmark bond

- If there are multiple potential SROs, the selection is made to minimize replacement frequency
- The draft SRO rules also set out a process for replacement of maturing SROs

36. When is the UAT period?

The UAT began in July and is scheduled to last through September 19. Additional details are available in the plan. The plan will be updated over time as additional information is available.

37. Is there a list of indices that are moving over to the 2014 definitions, and are there any exceptions which will remain on 2003?

Markit has provided a table on its website which provides a list of indices and the respective contractual definitions. Please see the following link for the list: <http://www.markit.com/en/products/data/indices/credit-and-loan-indices/itraxx/news.page>

For questions, please contact: cdsquestions@isda.org